1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 MICHAEL EVITT, Case No. 3:23-cv-06121-BHS 9 Plaintiff, ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S 10 COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT v. 11 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., 12 Defendant. 13 Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"), by and through its attorneys, Snell 14 & Wilmer L.L.P., hereby responds to Plaintiff's Complaint for Violations of the Fair Credit 15 Reporting Act dated December 8, 2023 [ECF No. 1] (the "Complaint") as follows: 16 I. STATEMENT OF THE CASE 17 18 Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 8(d) requires that "[e]ach allegation must be simple, concise, and direct." Indeed, dismissal on Rule 8 grounds is appropriate where the complaint is 19 "argumentative" or "prolix." California Coal. for Fams. & Child. v. San Diego Cnty. Bar Ass'n, 20 657 F. App'x 675, 678 (9th Cir. 2016). The Complaint's "Statement of the Case" is, however, 21 approximately two pages in length and is thus not susceptible to an admission or denial. To the 22 extent a response is required, Wells Fargo denies any and all wrongdoing. With respect to the 23

26 |

24

25

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

allegations that do not involve Wells Fargo, including statements about Plaintiff, Wells Fargo lacks

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	

21

22

23

24

25

26

sufficient knowledge or information to respond to the remaining allegations, and therefore denies the same.

II. PARTIES

- 2.1 In response to Paragraph 2.1 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo lacks sufficient knowledge or information to respond and therefore denies the same.
- 2.2 In response to Paragraph 2.2 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits that Plaintiff obtained a credit card from Wells Fargo. Wells Fargo lacks sufficient knowledge or information to respond to the remaining allegations and therefore denies the same.
- 2.3 In response to Paragraph 2.3 of the Complaint, Paragraph 2.3 calls for a legal conclusion to which an answer is not necessary nor appropriate. Wells Fargo is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 2.3 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
- 2.4 In response to Paragraph 2.4 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies that it is a corporation. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1), Wells Fargo is a citizen of South Dakota, the state listed on Wells Fargo's organization certificate. *See Wachovia Bank v. Schmidt*, 546 U.S. 303, 307 (2006) ("[A] national bank, for § 1348 purposes, is a citizen of the State in which its main office, as set forth in its articles of association, is located.").

III. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

The Complaint's "Jurisdiction and Venue" section is not a "simple, concise, and direct" allegation, as Rule 8(d) requires. This section contains numerous and compound allegations, and is thus not susceptible to an admission or denial. Moreover, this section of the Complaint ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

	I
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

references liability to a "Mr. Hermann," who is not identified as a party. Notwithstanding the above, Wells Fargo admits that the Complaint purports to assert a claim under federal law, and thus this Court has subject matter jurisdiction.

IV. FACTS

- 4.1 In response to Paragraph 4.1 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to the vagueness, accuracy, and characterization of the allegations, which are in any event wholly irrelevant to Plaintiff's dispute, and therefore denies.
- 4.2 In response to Paragraph 4.2 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to the vagueness, accuracy, and characterization of the allegations, which are in any event wholly irrelevant to Plaintiff's dispute, and therefore denies.
- 4.3 In response to Paragraph 4.3 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to the vagueness, accuracy, and characterization of the allegations, which are in any event wholly irrelevant to Plaintiff's dispute, and therefore denies.
- 4.4 In response to Paragraph 4.4 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to the vagueness, accuracy, and characterization of the allegations, which are in any event wholly irrelevant to Plaintiff's dispute, and therefore denies.
- 4.5 In response to Paragraph 4.5 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to the vagueness, accuracy, and characterization of the allegations, which are in any event wholly irrelevant to Plaintiff's dispute, and therefore denies.
- 4.6 In response to Paragraph 4.6 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to the vagueness, accuracy, and characterization of the allegations, which are in any event wholly irrelevant to Plaintiff's dispute, and therefore denies.
- 4.7 In response to Paragraph 4.7 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to the vaguenss, accuracy, and characterization of the allegations, as well as does not indicate what

2526

l		
1		"similar practices" means, which allegations are in any event wholly irrelevant to
2		Plaintiff's dispute, and therefore denies.
3	4.8	In response to Paragraph 4.8 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to the
4		vagueness, accuracy, and characterization of the allegations, which are in any event
5		wholly irrelevant to Plaintiff's dispute, and therefore denies.
6	4.9	In response to Paragraph 4.9 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to the
7		vagueness, accuracy, and characterization of the allegations, which are in any event
8		wholly irrelevant to Plaintiff's dispute, and therefore denies.
9	4.10	In response to Paragraph 4.10 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to the
10		vagueness, accuracy, and characterization of the allegations, which are in any event
11		wholly irrelevant to Plaintiff's dispute, and therefore denies.
12	4.11	In response to Paragraph 4.11 of the Complaint, the allegations are too vague to
13		evaluate, and Wells Fargo therefore denies the same.
14	4.12	In response to Paragraph 4.12 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
15	4.13	In response to Paragraph 4.13 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo is without knowledge
16		or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
17		in Paragraph 4.13 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
18	4.14	In response to Paragraph 4.14 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
19	4.15	In response to Paragraph 4.15 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
20	4.16	In response to Paragraph 4.16 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
21	4.17	In response to Paragraph 4.17 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
22	4.18	In response to Paragraph 4.18 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo lacks sufficient
23		knowledge or information to respond and therefore denies the same.
24	4.19	In response to Paragraph 4.19 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo lacks sufficient
25		knowledge or information to respond and therefore denies the same.
26	ANSWER TO P	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT
	3:23-CV-06121-	-BHS Snell & Wilmer 506 Second Avenue

1	4.20	In response to Paragraph 4.20 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo lacks sufficient
2		knowledge or information to respond and therefore denies the same.
3	4.21	Wells Fargo lacks sufficient knowledge or information to respond and therefore
4		denies the same.
5	4.22	In response to Paragraph 4.22 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits that Plaintiff
6		has a deposit account with Wells Fargo.
7	4.23	In response to Paragraph 4.23 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits.
8	4.24	In response to Paragraph 4.24 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
9	4.25	In response to Paragraph 4.25 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
10	4.26	In response to Paragraph 4.26 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits that each of
11		the transactions was approximately \$999 and denies the remaining allegations.
12	4.27	In response to Paragraph 4.27 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits that the
13		transactions totaled just under \$18,000 but denies that the transactions were
14		unauthorized.
15	4.28	In response to Paragraph 4.28 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits that overdraft
16		protection was established on April 28, 2022, but denies that this was unauthorized.
17	4.29	In response to Paragraph 4.29 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to the
18		vagueness of the term "use" and therefore denies.
19	4.30	In response to Paragraph 4.30 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo is without knowledge
20		or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
21		in Paragraph 4.30 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
22	4.31	In response to Paragraph 4.31 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
23	4.32	In response to Paragraph 4.32 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
24	4.33	In response to Paragraph 4.33 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
25		

1	4.34	In response to Paragraph 4.34 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits that Plaintiff
2		reported to Wells Fargo that certain transactions were purportedly unauthorized.
3	4.35	In response to Paragraph 4.35 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits.
4	4.36	In response to Paragraph 4.36 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo is without knowledge
5		or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
6		in Paragraph 4.36 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
7	4.37	In response to Paragraph 4.37 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
8	4.38	In response to Paragraph 4.38 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo Wells Fargo responds
9		that the document speaks for itself.
10	4.39	In response to Paragraph 4.39 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo responds that the
11		document speaks for itself.
12	4.40	In response to Paragraph 4.40 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo responds that the
13		document speaks for itself.
14	4.41	In response to Paragraph 4.41 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
15	4.42	In response to Paragraph 4.42 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo cannot meaningfully
16		respond because the allegation is completely non-specific as to time, and therefore
17		denies.
18	4.43	In response to Paragraph 4.43 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo is without knowledge
19		or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
20		in Paragraph 4.36 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
21	4.44	In response to Paragraph 4.44 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo is without knowledge
22		or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
23		in Paragraph 4.36 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
24		
25		

3:23-CV-06121-BHS

26

1	4.45	In response to Paragraph 4.45 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo is without knowledge
2		or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
3		in Paragraph 4.36 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
4	4.46	In response to Paragraph 4.46 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
5	4.47	In response to Paragraph 4.47 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo is without knowledge
6		or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
7		in Paragraph 4.47 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
8	4.48	In response to Paragraph 4.48 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
9	4.49	In response to Paragraph 4.49 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits.
10	4.50	In response to Paragraph 4.50 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits that it moved
11		to enforce the arbitration agreement in the parties' operative agreement.
12	4.51	In response to Paragraph 4.51 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo responds that the
13		"Final Award" speaks for itself, but notes that the Award remains unconfirmed.
14	4.52	In response to Paragraph 4.52 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo responds that the
15		"Final Award" speaks for itself, but notes that the Award remains unconfirmed.
16	4.53	In response to Paragraph 4.53 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo responds that the
17		"Final Award" speaks for itself, but notes that the Award remains unconfirmed.
18	4.54	In response to Paragraph 4.54 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
19	4.55	In response to Paragraph 4.55 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
20	4.56	In response to Paragraph 4.56 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits that it was
21		"still credit reporting the account" but denies any wrongdoing.
22	4.57	In response to Paragraph 4.57 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits based on
23		Exhibit D.
24	4.58	In response to Paragraph 4.58 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
25	4.59	In response to Paragraph 4.59 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
	1	

1	4.60	In response to Paragraph 4.60 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
2	4.61	In response to Paragraph 4.61 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
3	4.62	In response to Paragraph 4.62 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo is without knowledge
4		or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained
5		in Paragraph 4.36 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
6	4.63	In response to Paragraph 4.63 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
7	4.64	In response to Paragraph 4.64 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
8	4.65	In response to Paragraph 4.65 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
9	4.66	In response to Paragraph 4.66 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
10	4.67	In response to Paragraph 4.67 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
11	4.68	In response to Paragraph 4.68 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
12	4.69	In response to Paragraph 4.69 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
13		V. <u>FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION</u>
14		(Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act Violation)
15		(15 U.S.C. § 1681-s-2(b))
16		(Wells Fargo)
17	5.1	In response to Paragraph 5.1 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo incorporates its
18		responses above.
19	5.2	In response to Paragraph 5.2 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
20	5.3	In response to Paragraph 5.3 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
21	5.4	In response to Paragraph 5.4 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to vagueness
22		of term "erroneous account" and denies.
23	5.5	In response to Paragraph 5.5 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo admits.
24	5.6	In response to Paragraph 5.6 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies, and notes that
25		the Final Award is unconfirmed.
,,		

1	5.7	In response to Paragraph 5.7 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
2	5.8	In response to Paragraph 5.8 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
3	5.9	In response to Paragraph 5.9 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
4	5.10	In response to Paragraph 5.10 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo responds that Exhibit
5		E speaks for itself, but notes that Exhibit E appears to be a TransUnion document,
6		and is not a document issued or created by Wells Fargo.
7	5.11	In response to Paragraph 5.11 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo objects to vagueness
8		of term "erroneous account" and denies.
9	5.12	In response to Paragraph 5.12 of the Complaint, Paragraph 5.12 asserts a legal
10		standard from a Pennsylvania case, and thus a response is not necessary or
11		appropriate. Plaintiff's Complaint cannot establish the applicable legal standards
12		in his Complaint, particularly by citing foreign authority.
13	5.13	In response to Paragraph 5.13 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
14	5.14	In response to Paragraph 5.14 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
15	5.15	In response to Paragraph 5.15 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
16	5.16	In response to Paragraph 5.16 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
17	5.17	In response to Paragraph 5.17 of the Complaint, Wells Fargo denies.
18		VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF
19	Wells	Fargo requests that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice, and that
20	Plaintiff take	nothing thereby.
21		AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
22	As sep	parate, alternative, and affirmative defenses to the Complaint, Wells Fargo alleges:
23	1.	The Complaint fails to state a claim against Wells Fargo upon which relief can be
24	granted.	
25		

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT $_{\rm 9}$

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 2. Plaintiff has no private right of action against Wells Fargo for its initial furnishing of information to a credit reporting agency. 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(c), (d).
- 3. Wells Fargo's duty to investigate Plaintiff's credit dispute is only triggered upon receiving notice of the dispute from the credit reporting agency. 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1).
- 4. To the extent Wells Fargo received notification of Plaintiff's dispute, Wells Fargo's responses to credit reporting agency disputes were timely, accurate, and complete.
- 5. At all relevant times, Wells Fargo had in place reasonable and appropriate procedures to investigate and verify any and all credit information it was allegedly furnishing.
- 6. Wells Fargo's investigations were reasonable under the circumstances and conducted in good faith.
- 7. Plaintiff's claims may be barred by the applicable statute of limitations and/or doctrine of laches. 15 U.S.C. § 1681p.
- 8. Plaintiff cannot prove Wells Fargo violated FCRA, knew it was violating FCRA, or acted with reckless disregard as to whether it was violating FCRA.
- 9. Plaintiff cannot establish any actual damages, including credit denial or monetary damages, with reasonable certainty.
 - 10. Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages.
- 11. Some or all of Plaintiff's damages, if any, may have been caused by the acts, omissions, and/or errors of Plaintiff and/or others. Thus, any damages are barred or reduced by the contributory and comparative negligence of Plaintiff or others.
- 12. Plaintiff's Complaint does not allege facts sufficient to rise to the level of conduct required to recover punitive damages, and thus all requests for punitive damages are improper.
 - 13. Plaintiff lacks standing because Plaintiff suffered no injury in fact.
- 14. Wells Fargo reserves all defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8 and 12, and any additional defenses and avoidances that may apply through discovery or otherwise.

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date, I electronically filed the foregoing ANSWER TO

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT with the Clerk of the Court for the U. S. District Court, Western District of Washington by using the Court's CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the CM/ECF system.

DATED: January 5, 2024.

/s/ Maricris Williams An employee of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.

4867-2053-2122

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT